

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 D.J.
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
GROUP 2644 2//D/4
PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q56957

Tatsuzo HASEGAWA, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/453,525

Group Art Unit: 2644

Confirmation No.: 2758

Examiner: Andrew R. Graham

Filed: December 03, 1999

RECEIVED

For: BTL AMPLIFIER APPARATUS

FEB 1 8 2004

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Technology Center 2600

**MAIL STOP AF** 

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated November 17, 2004, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-21 have been examined, and have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

I. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,939,938 to Kalb et al. ("Kalb") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,834,977 to Maehara et al. ("Maehara").

Claims 1, 3, 9-14 and 16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kalb and Maehara.